Housing Scrutiny Sub-Committee – Landlord Services Performance 2024/25

APPENDIX A

(Figures in brackets are individual quarterly performance outturns)

PI	Measure Description	Outturn 23/24	Target 24/25	Q1 24/25	Q2 24/25	Q3 24/25	Q4 24/25	Status (RAG) *Blue = volumetric		Additiona
Rents		23/24	24/23					"Blue – volur	netric	
125B (RC1)	Rent collected as a proportion of rent owed	99.69%	97.50%	96.48%	96.42% (96.37%)	100.25% (109.60%)	99.07% (98.74%)	\odot		Rent collected - £35,794,800.23 The team have adapted well to the pilo and have performed above target & in
126 (RC2)	Current tenant arrears as a percentage of the annual rent debit	2.88%	4.00%	3.50%	4.47%	3.33%	3.38%	\odot		Rent arrears - £1,208,448.74 The team have kept areas below the ta in the percentage of arrears against th creation of the specialist teams and wa to new ways of working
HSSC1	Garage rent collected as a percentage of rent due	New for 24/25	Volumetric	99.28%	97.69% (96.25%)	101.57% (110.89%)	99.93% (95.25%)	Volumetric		
HSSC2	Percentage of garage rent lost due to vacancy	New for 24/25	Volumetric	25.61%	25.12% (24.67%)	24.71% (23.70%)	24.38% (23.41%)	Volumetric		
ASB										
89	Percentage of ASB cases closed that were resolved	98.88%	94.00%	100.00%	99.26% (98.92%)	99.14% (98.97%)	98.68% (97.70%)	\odot		Number of ASB cases closed YTD – 6 The team have adapted well to the pilo and have continued to performed above
90	Average days to resolve ASB cases	46.5 days	60 days	58.16 days	56.07 (55.10)	51.97 (46.22)	58.01 (71.15)			For quarter 4 this was below target, ho The has been an increase in cases an the behest of the Courts timetables an Previously any case that was taken to category e.g. drug dealing and amend case from the calculation. Legal cases should not have been excluding these the same challenges around court ava
HSSC3	Number of ASB cases by type	New for 24/25	Volumetric	106	347 (241)	587 (240)	825 (238)	Volumetric		
(a)	ASB by type – Noise	New for 24/25	Volumetric	44	109 (65)	194 (85)	272 (78)	Volumetric		
(b)	ASB by type – Verbal abuse/ harassment/ intimidation/ threatening behaviour	New for 24/25	Volumetric	13	75 (62)	123 (48)	164 (41)	Volumetric		
(c)	ASB by type – Drugs/ substance misuse/ drug dealing	New for 24/25	Volumetric	8	42 (34)	79 (37)	104 (25)	Volumetric		
(d)	ASB by type – Pets and animal nuisance	New for 24/25	Volumetric	8	19 (11)	29 (10)	40 (11)	Volumetric		
(e)	ASB by type – Noxious odour	New for 24/25	Volumetric	3	16 (13)	27 (11)	40 (13)	Volumetric		
(f)	ASB by type – Physical violence	New for 24/25	Volumetric	3	13 (10)	20 (7)	27 (7)	Volumetric		
(g)	ASB by type – Garden nuisance	New for 24/25	Volumetric	2	12 (10)	21 (9)	33 (12)	Volumetric		
(h)	ASB by type – Vandalism and damage to property	New for 24/25	Volumetric	2	8 (6)	18 (10)	23 (5)	Volumetric		
(i)	vehicles	New for 24/25	Volumetric	2	4 (2)	7 (3)	9 (2)	Volumetric		
(j)	ASB by type – Misuse of communal areas/ public space or loitering	New for 24/25	Volumetric	1	15 (14)	22 (7)	40 (18)	Volumetric		
(k)	ASB by type – Domestic abuse	New for 24/25	Volumetric	1	3 (2)	4 (1)	5 (1)	Volumetric		
(I)	ASB by type – Hate-related incidents	New for 24/25	Volumetric	1	2 (1)	3 (1)	3 (0)	Volumetric		
(m)	ASB by type – Property condition	New for 24/25	Volumetric	0	2 (2)	6 (4)	15 (9)	Volumetric		

al information

pilot structure of having specialist teams in line with upper quartile benchmarking

e target for $\frac{3}{4}$ quarters this year. The rise the debit in Q2 coincided with the inital was only temporary while they adjusted

682

bilot structure of having specialist teams

however overall outturn is within target. and associated legal action leaving us at and decision.

to court was changed from its original nded to "Legal cases" which excluded the ses is not a Housemark category and we se cases. All Housing providers will face availability and delays.

(n)	ASB by type – Cuckooing	New for 24/25	Volumetric	0	1 (1)	1 (0)	3 (2)	Volumetric	
(0)	ASB by type – Prostitution/sexual acts/kerb crawling	New for 24/25	Volumetric	0	1 (1)	1 (0)	2 (1)	Volumetric	
(p)	ASB by type – Litter/rubbish/fly- tipping	New for 24/25	Volumetric	0	0	0 (0)	3 (3)	Volumetric	
(q)	ASB by type – Other	New for	Volumetric	18	(0) 25	27	37	Volumetric	
		24/25			(7)	(2)	(10)		
Allocations 85A	Percentage of offers accepted first	88.16%	85.00%	87.93%	87.45%	88.55%	89.87%		The Team continues to liaise with suc
	time				(86.99%)	(90.76%)	(93.97%)	\odot	property offered is suitable.
HS1	Number of people currently on the housing list	2,036 (PSC)	Volumetric	2,029	2,076	2,057	2,227	Volumetric	Continue to receive high numbers of appli
Voids		4.400/	4.000/	4.000/	1.0.4%	4.0004	4 4004		
69 (HV1)	Percentage of rent lost through dwelling being vacant	1.18%	1.00%	1.26%	1.31% (1.36%)	1.36% (1.46%)	1.40% (1.53%)		Year to date – 1.40% This target has been impacted by the l process during this quarter, for the end process all impacting the rent loss . Th void process does not show any signs that this will continue into Q1 2025/26. 116 properties have been relet during showing that the standard of propertie matching the properties successfully is
58 (HV2)	Average re-let time calendar days for all dwellings (excluding major works)	39.87 days	36 days	40.76 days	43.66 days (46.94)	44.78 days (47.29)	45.71 days (48.33)		Number of re-lets YTD – 274 As mentioned above/below, there are currently. Those that are logged as mi as major works on occassion which do noting that these are only general nee non general needs that also need add same team.
61 (HV3)	Average re-let time calendar days for all dwellings (including major works)	46.59 days	42 days	48.79 days	50.28 days (51.59)	50.50 days (50.94)	52.53 days (58.78)		Number of re-lets YTD – 474 Year to date – 52.53 days There is a significant number of voids this period. February and March have properties in the system since July 202 team's capacity. A significant number of void properties repairs being undertaken further increa There were a number of longer-term v period that impact this average – one of repairs The housing repair service are seeing they are trying to limit the amount of pro- contractual cost increases and the implication is going to further increase void timefra- the system and more being undertake
Investmen					1				
50 (HI1)	Percentage of council properties that are not at the 'Decent Homes' standard (excluding refusals)	0.24%	1.00%	0.36%	0.64%	0.45%	0.26%	$\overline{\odot}$	Number of non-decent homes (exclud Overall levels have fallen despite addition condition surveys undertaken in the final of also made gaining access for Electrical te
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1

uccessful applicants to ensure the

plications each week.

the large number of voids held in the end of March there were 120 voids in the The number of properties entering the ns of slowing down and it is anticipated 26.

ng this period with a low refusal rate ties and allocations teams focus on y is having a positive outcome

re a number of voids within the system minor works, once investigated can flag does impact on performance. It is worth eeds voids and that there are a further 50 ddressing which are all serviced by the

ds within the system currently and during ve seen the highest number of void 2022 and this is impacting the repair

ies are still requiring cleansing prior to reasing the overall timeframes.

n voids over 100 days signed up over this ne of which required substantial structural

ng an increase in repair timeframes whilst i properties going to subcontractors due to mpact this has on budgets. Inevitably this eframes as these properties move through ken by the inhouse team.

uding refusals) - 20 onal failures being identified from stock al quarter of 2024/25. Notable progress was tests.

									There are now a total of 20 failures (inclue Electrics, 9 Doors, 1 Windows, 2 Chimne
Percentage of properties at SAP rating C or above	New for 2024/25	Volumetric	93.25%	93.71%	93.90%	94.87%	Volumetric		A slight increase in the no. of properties r delivery of planned improvement works d
Ind Fire Safety Assurance									
Percentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate	98.38%	99.00%	98.68%	99.03% (99.27%)	99.00% (9v8.96%)	99.01% (99.01%)	\odot		There has been a slight improvement in per servicing programme continually runs twelv cases has been 17 properties this quarter. legal services we have obtained a small nur outstanding failed access cases.
Proportion of homes for which all required fire risk assessments have been carried out	100.00% (TSM)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	\odot	-	
Proportion of homes for which all required asbestos management surveys or re-inspections have been carried out	90.92% (TSM)	99.00%	90.92%	90.92%	90.92%	100.00%	\odot		
Proportion of homes for which all required legionella risk assessments have been carried out	100.00% (TSM)	99.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	\odot	-	
Proportion of homes for which all required communal passenger lift safety checks have been carried out	100.00% (TSM)	100%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	\odot	-	
Percentage of homes with an in- date and satisfactory electrical installation condition report	New for 24/25	95%	95.76%	95.37%	95.93%	97.30%	\odot		
Percentage of communal areas with an in-date and satisfactory electrical installation condition	New for 24/25	99.3%	98.43%	98.59%	98.90%	99.37%	\odot		
Average time taken to complete damp and mould repairs (days)	New for 24/25	20 days	5.31	5.47 (5.71)	4.91 (4.01)	4,87 (4.77)	\odot		Slight increase due to stock condition unreportd damp and mould however to new processes and is working well.
					1	1			
Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (priority 1 day only)	99.55%	99.50%	99.89%	99.83% (99.78%)	99.88% (100%)	99.92% (100%)	C	-	Number of repairs completed YTD – 3 The outturn for this measure has achieved possible performance level for the measu Processes are in place to manage the prior prioritised against other repairs to ensure within timescales
Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (urgent 3 day repairs only)	89.29%	97.50%	99.32%	98.23% (97.20%)	96.85% (94.52%)	95.85% (93.22%)			Number of repairs completed YTD – 5 Q4 has seen a slight decrease in perform quarter, and remains slightly below our loo The service area reports a number of long additional to some operatives currently we trades, which has a large number of priori The service area is pleased to have recer help to support this team in the coming m
Average time taken to complete urgent Repairs (3 days)	2.17 days	3 days	1.88	1.97 (2.07)	2.01 (2.08)	2.06 (2.19)	\odot		No concerns
Percentage of repairs fixed first time (priority and urgent repairs) - HRS only	93.08%	92.00%	98.00%	98.35% (98.68%)	97.62% (96.39%)	97.79% (98.29%)	\odot		Q4 has seen further improvements in the above both the high target for the quarter Operatives have received their new impre
	rating C or above nd Fire Safety Assurance Percentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate Proportion of homes for which all required fire risk assessments have been carried out Proportion of homes for which all required asbestos management surveys or re-inspections have been carried out Proportion of homes for which all required legionella risk assessments have been carried out Proportion of homes for which all required communal passenger lift safety checks have been carried out Percentage of homes with an in- date and satisfactory electrical installation condition report Percentage of communal areas with an in-date and satisfactory electrical installation condition report Average time taken to complete damp and mould repairs (days) tousing Repairs Service) Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (priority 1 day only) Percentage of repairs fixed first time (priority and urgent repairs) -	rating C or above2024/25Ind Fire Safety AssurancePercentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate98.38%Proportion of homes for which all required fire risk assessments have been carried out100.00% (TSM)Proportion of homes for which all required asbestos management surveys or re-inspections have been carried out90.92% (TSM)Proportion of homes for which all required legionella risk assessments have been carried out100.00% (TSM)Proportion of homes for which all required communal passenger lift safety checks have been carried out100.00% (TSM)Percentage of homes with an in- date and satisfactory electrical installation condition reportNew for 24/25Percentage of communal areas with an in-date and satisfactory electrical installation condition reportNew for 24/25Average time taken to complete damp and mould repairs (days)New for 24/25Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (priority 1 day only)99.55%Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (urgent 3 day repairs only)89.29%Average time taken to complete urgent Repairs (3 days)2.17 daysPercentage of repairs fixed first time (priority and urgent repairs) -93.08%	rating C or above2024/25Ind Fire Safety Assurance2024/25Percentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate98.38%99.00%Proportion of homes for which all required fire risk assessments have been carried out100.00% (TSM)99.00%Proportion of homes for which all required legionella risk assessments have been carried out90.92% (TSM)99.00%Proportion of homes for which all required legionella risk assessments have been carried out100.00% (TSM)99.00%Proportion of homes for which all required communal passenger lift safety checks have been carried out100.00% (TSM)100%Percentage of nomes with an in- date and satisfactory electrical installation condition reportNew for 24/2595%Percentage of communal areas with an in-date and satisfactory electrical installation condition reportNew for 24/2520 daysAverage time taken to complete damp and mould repairs (days)New for 24/2520 days Ousing Repairs Service) Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (urgent 3 day repairs only)99.55%99.50%Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (urgent 3 day repairs only)93.08%97.50%	rating C or above2024/25Ind Fire Safety Assurance2024/25Percentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate98.38%99.00%98.68%Proportion of homes for which all required asbestos management surveys or re-inspections have been carried out90.92% (TSM)99.00%90.92%Proportion of homes for which all required legionella risk assessments have been carried out90.92% (TSM)99.00%90.92%Proportion of homes for which all required legionella risk assessments have been carried out100.00% (TSM)99.00%100.00%Proportion of homes for which all required communal passenger lift safety checks have been carried out100.00% (TSM)100.00%100.00%Percentage of nomes with an in- date and satisfactory electrical installation condition reportNew for 24/2595.76%95.76%Percentage of communal areas with an in-date and satisfactory electrical installation condition reportNew for 24/2520 days5.31completed within arget time (priority 1 day only)99.55%99.50%99.89%Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (urgent 3 day repairs only)89.29%97.50%99.32%Average time taken to complete urgent Repairs (3 days)2.17 days3 days1.88Percentage of reactive repairs completed of repairs (fixed first time (priority and urgent repairs) -93.08%92.00%98.00%	rating C or above2024/25Ind Fire Safety AssurancePercentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate98.38%99.00%98.68%99.03% (99.27%)Proportion of homes for which all required aut100.00%99.00%100.00%100.00%Proportion of homes for which all required abestos management surveys or re-inspections have90.92% (TSM)99.00%90.92%Proportion of homes for which all required eigonella risk assessments have been carried out100.00% (TSM)99.00%100.00%Proportion of homes for which all required legionella risk assessments have been carried out100.00% (TSM)100.00%100.00%Proportion of homes for which all required communal passenger lift safety checks have been carried out100.00% (TSM)100.00%100.00%Percentage of formes with an in- date and satisfactory electrical installation condition reportNew for 24/2595.76%95.37%Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (priority 1 day only)99.55%99.50%99.843%98.59%Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (urgent 3 day repairs only)99.55%99.50%99.82% (97.20%)99.82% (97.20%)Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (urgent 2 days91.1881.97 (2.07)Percentage of reactive repairs completed within target time (priority 1 day only)21.7 days3 days1.881.97 	rating C or above2024/25Precentage of dwellings with a valid 	rating C or above 2024/25 Parcentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate 98.38% 99.00% 98.68% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% (99.27%) (99.07%) (99.01%) (99.01%) Proportion of homes for which all required absciss management surveys or re-inspections have been carried out 90.92% 90.92% 90.92% 90.92% 90.92% 100.00% <td>rating C or above 2024/25 Ind Fire Safety Assurance Percentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate 98.38% 99.00% 98.68% 99.03% (99.03%) (99.01%) (99.01%) Proportion of homes for which all required fire risk assessments have been carried out 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 00.00% (90.01%) (90.00%) 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% (00.00%)</td> <td>rating C or above 2024/25 2024/25 99.00% 98.68% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 90.00% 99.00% 90.00% 99.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 00.00%</td>	rating C or above 2024/25 Ind Fire Safety Assurance Percentage of dwellings with a valid gas safety certificate 98.38% 99.00% 98.68% 99.03% (99.03%) (99.01%) (99.01%) Proportion of homes for which all required fire risk assessments have been carried out 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 00.00% (90.01%) (90.00%) 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% (00.00%)	rating C or above 2024/25 2024/25 99.00% 98.68% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 99.00% 90.00% 99.00% 90.00% 99.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 90.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 00.00%

luding 2 properties failing 2 criteria) due to 9 neys and 1 Roof.

s reaching band C or above, following the during the course of the year.

berformance this quarter. The annual gas elve months a year. The number of failed access r. With the support of housing management and number of injunctions to address some of the

on surveys highlighted previously or the team is now in place to support with

- 3,642

ved above its high target and at the highest sure, 100%.

priority workload throughout the day, these are re attendance and actions are completed

- 5,980

mance when compared to the previous low target,

ong-term sicknesses within the area team, working on restricted duties within one of the ority and urgent repairs.

cently recruited to a vacant position that will months.

ne performance of this outturn, delivering er and the year to date.

press stocks this quarter and the service area ent system to ensure this is kept up to date to te repairs first time

37 (HM4)	Appointments kept as a percentage of appointments made (priority and urgent repairs) - HRS only	96.95%	98.00%	98.76%	99.02% (99.27%)	99.17% (99.42%)	98.78% (97.73%)	\odot		Number of appointments made YTD – The outturn for quarter 4 continues to perform reduced from last quarter. Additionally, the target for the year to date.
										The service area have proactively re-allocatively re-allocatively re-allocatively re-allocatively re-allocatively re-allocatively which has been impacted partial number of long-term sicknesses during the under restricted duties.
										Recent recruitment is expected to support appointments.
29B	Percentage of all priority repairs carried out within time limits (1 day) (Aaron Services)	99.98%	99.50%	100.00%	100% (100%)	100% (100%)	100% (100%)	\odot	-	
Strategy						1		1		
HSSC8	Number of new properties delivered	New for 24/25	Volumetric	3	4 (1)	20 (16)	24 (4)	Volumetric		The council delivered 11 newbuild homes its established purchase and repair schem 2025/26, and 9 additional newbuild homes to the stock in May. The council's next new to deliver around 50 new homes in the sou commence at Jasmin Green in Spring 202
HSSC9	Number of 'Right to Buy' transactions	New for 24/25	Volumetric	10	12 (2)	24 (12)	34 (10)	Volumetric		In October 2024 Government introduced a rate at which social housing units are lost included reductions in the maximum cash temporary surge in RTB applications prior number of homes lost through RTB in 202 year, and is expected to fall over the next under the old regime complete and application
HSSC10	Number of council properties	New for 24/25	Volumetric	7,789	7,788	7,791	7,785	Volumetric		The net effect of acquisitions, newbuilds a net loss in stock to the end of Q4. It is exp of Government's RTB changes will reduce future years, allowing for acquisitions and overall increase in stock numbers.
	s and Customer Service									
22	% of complaints replied to within target time	35.18%	95.00%	96.30%	93.09% (90.00%)	92.72% (91.67%)	92.65% (92.38%)			Number of complaints responded to Y Whilst the target has not been met, the made in ensuring complaints are responded
The followi	ng is a corporate performance measur	e overseen l	by the Custome	er Services T	eam, and rela	ates to all cal	ls received by	the Customer (Contac	t Centre. This measure therefore includes d
CS3	Average time taken to answer a call	607	300	(817	(795	(698	(998	\frown		The average wait for a call into the contact c

CS3	Average time taken to answer a call to Customer Services	607 seconds (PSC)	300 seconds	(817 seconds)	(795 seconds)	(698 seconds)	(998 seconds)		•	The average wait for a call into the contact handled 5,448 more calls in Q4 compared w Q4. One of these was filled at the end of Ma Customer Services contacted 6,238 custom times include the time taken for call backs t waiting in the queue, the length of time is st is currently being reviewed to determine the If switchboard were included the average w
-----	---	-------------------------	----------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	--	---	--

- 10,581

erform above it's low target, whilst slightly the measure has achieved above its high

bocated appointments due to operative articularly within the repairs team, due to a the quarter as well as operatives working

ort this area to continue to reduce failed

es and acquired 13 further homes as part of eme during 2024/25. Work continues into nes at Boultham Park Road will be also added newbuild project is Jasmin Green, expected south of city. Construction is expected to 026.

d a series of measures intended to reduce the st through Right to Buy (RTB). These sh discounts available, resulting in a or to the changes taking effect. The overall 024/25 remained the same as the previous st 1 – 2 years as pending RTB transactions ications stabilise.

and RTB transactions has resulted in a small expected that the medium to long term impact ce the number of council homes being lost in ad newbuild schemes to begin to deliver an

YTD – 476

there has been significant improvements sponded to wtihin target time.

data not related to the Housing service.

ct centre has increased this quarter, the team d with Q3 and were also carrying 3 vacancies in March and the other 2 are out to advert. omers following a call back request. The call wait is to take place. Although the customer is not still included in the figure currently. The system he impact of call backs on overall call wait times. wait would be 579.31 seconds.